

Do we want a policy of informing parents? If so should it be on first offense, or on second or third offense?

Homework: Need input from Student Services and move forward from there
Then talk to SGA about it after doing research, if choose to move in that manner

Afternoon Session

Accreditation Overview – The Board’s Role: NCA Visit 2010-2011; Joe Rives will work with Board like did with *Higher Values in Higher Education* – draft and look for input from Board, constituencies, etc. Visit will revolve around *Higher Values in Higher Education*. Distance, Relationship between the QC and Macomb, how do we make our values part of the institution – these are three areas we’ve asked NCA to look at for special areas. Rives met with Deans, Provost and Provost’s Staff. Looking at assessment – do we pull enough assessment areas together? Joe Rives and Provost are working on this issue with Academic Affairs. Good head start. Joe Rives has put teams together. President Goldfarb requests that Board stays engaged in materials and with feedback – like another focus group. President feels that WIU is in good shape moving into this process. Trustee Antonides will talk to Joe Rives regarding being involved in one of the teams. Trustee Ehlert mentioned that during last assessment, was asked about Board’s goals. Maybe Joe Rives can join next Retreat to discuss accreditation;

Fundraising and Comprehensive Campaign Process – The Board’s Role: Broke \$8 million; 40% of campaign goal; record year in many areas; endowments over \$30 million (puts us in new category); What can the Board do? Corporate Entities are a weakness that needs to be addressed, let President or Brad Bainter know if there are contacts that can be made; Trustee Antonides would like to attend the Major Donor Banquet and start a precedent of student Trustees being invited and participating; the Board is interested in being more directly involved in fundraising – had not felt that they have been directly asked and therefore had not really been overly involved to the present; Foundation/Alumni electronic contact with events, etc.; Is there a value in having the BOT more involved with Foundation? Hard to tell – they are two separate entities – and BOT invited to Major Donor Banquet; Trustee Nelson will ask the Foundation Board (as BOT Rep to Foundation) what terenR anee

Committees for the 2008/9 year:

State SUCSS Board: Trustee Griffin; President's Office will talk to Tom Morelock and work out CODEC
Academic & Student Services: Trustee Griffin (chair), Trustee Antonides
Finance: Trustee Ehlert (chair), Trustee Epperly
Audit: Trustee Houston (chair), Trustee Antonides
Presidential Assessment: Trustee Nelson (chair), Trustee Ehlert
Foundation Board Rep: Trustee Nelson

Kerry Yadgar will inform appropriate parties of committee membership

Trustees made amendment to Committee Roles and Responsibilities

Board Goals:

1. Expand our partnerships with the public and private sectors
2. Continuing relationships with community colleges
3. Identify individual and/or corporate and foundation donors
4. Develop fiscal principles
5. Engage in the accreditation process
6. Monitor and assess progress of revised HVHE
7. Participate in search processes if necessary
8. Represent the University at community events

Individual Board Member Responsibilities:

1. Continue working with our representatives with Quincy area in area of partnerships and Quincy project on hydropower on the Mississippi – Trustee Griffin
2. Remaining active with the Corp – Trustee Griffin
3. Work with potential student trustees for a smooth transition – Trustee Antonides
4. Work hard as Board Chair – Trustee Nelson
- 5.